April 29, 1975

There isn't enough money printed yet to take care of the University for what they want. You have to go for what they need. You can not leave it to individual boards to say what academic courses they are going to have you can not leave it to individual boards to say what real estates they are going to acquire, and I think that is on page 9. You have got the right to set the tuition rate for them and if you will read in the JOurnal the Attorney General's opinion that they can not in any of these areas take any of these students for less than full cost of that. Otherwise they could have a case in court that they are attempting to let the local tax payer of that particular area carry the additional cost. He says that you can not do it. Whether he is right or worng, I would have no idea on it. They have the right to build dorms in here, to acquire any property to have any type of course that they want in any location that they want it. They have an advisory board supposedly that is going to take federal money and going to put out federal money on credit hours generated. You can not take a federal money and put it out with an advisory board. That is impossible to do. You have to have a constitutional board of some type, or a statutory board to put it out. You can not use an advisory board. board is also going to be funded entirely out of general funds. How many people are on the board? There are about eleven of them, I think. How many people they will need to operate the board? It does not say. But whatever they need, whether it is one, two or a hundred to operate that board the General Fund has got to pick it up. I can see no difference in this system than what we had before when had the one mil levy and that was unconstitutional. Now you are paying 2 1/2 mils, which is about 16 and one-half million dollars. Whether it is constitutional or not, I do not know, I'm not an attorney. I'm sure that the only thing you are going to get out of the Attorney General is an opinion, and those opinions are not worth anything until they are taken to the Supreme Court, which they had to do before. I would oppose this method of funding. When the Governor said that he was going to put eleven million dollars in the Technical Community Colleges, we asked him in the budget committee how are you going to fund it? He said that we assume, we assume, that there will be a 1 mil levy. Now if he is assuming that there will be a 1 mil levy, I don't know how you fund anything by assuming something, but if you would assume that there is going to be a 1 mil levy then you would be funding this at 18 million dollars. This is the same as our staff came out with. But this funds it at 27 million dollars, or what they originally requested. You are going to poliferate the system until you absolutely can not tolerate higher education. This is a thing that I don't want to see, to see these schools go down the drain. We need the Vocational Schools. There is no difference in these schools than there is in higher forms of education and no reason to fund them any differently. We will fund everything out of the sales and income tax and we crawled out of that hole of putting it on the property tax. Now you want to crawl right back into the hole. You expect this Legislature to put 2 1/2 mils arbitrarily on all property in the state of Nebraska. Now if any of you